

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450° Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FI	RST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET	NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/545,493	04/07/2000		Rogier Pierre	T2147-906388	9721	
7:	590 05/03/2004		*		EXAMINER	
Edward J Kondracki Esq			SH	SHAH, NILESH R		
Kerkam Stowel	l Kondracki & Clarke	PC	• •			
1751 PINNACI	LE DRIVE	: ' :	• *	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 500			•	2127	12	
MCLEAN, VA	22102-3833	130.0		DATE MAILED: 05/0	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory	Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/545,493	PIERRE, ROGIER	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Nilesh R Shah	2127	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a

	SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
10	Other: MENGAL T AN
	Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)
	The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.
g 🗀	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: The drawing correction filed on is a) and a to
	Claim(s) rejected: 24-49.
	Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .
	Claim(s) allowed: none.
	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
	explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:
7.🛛	For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) will not be entered or b) will be entered and an
6.	The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.
5.🛛	The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☒ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
4.	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
3.	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
``	NOTE:
(ď	they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
(c)) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
	they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);
) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
	The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.
earned	patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
have be 37 CFR	tensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee een filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under to 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in ve, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any
b) [2	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
a) [
_	PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]
condit	rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in tion for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued ination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.
final r	ejection under 37 CFR 1 113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the configuration in

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The new claim languages for independent claims 24 and 42 inter alia "stored predetermined number of task" rasies new issues that would require further consideration and search.